

Responses to first survey – current considerations

Blue = what would make you more supportive **Red** = concerns/what would make you less supportive

FINANCIAL comments

Concerns: Uncertain funding – insufficient funding – lack of funding

- **Member support:** If someone else paid for it – **poor financial support from membership**
- **Funding certainty:** More certainty on funding from tax credits, amount & stability of grants, money to be raised – knowing for certain the Fellowship has funds needed to cover expenses
- **Mortgage:** Assurance project would be paid for without a mortgage for UUFd – **bank approval of what I think would be a much larger mortgage**
- **2:1 match:** If it would extend into 2021 so we can use it for grants – **losing it**

SUPPORT/INTEREST comments

Concerns: Comments almost entirely positive

- **Fellowship support:** If our community of UU is strongly behind the project, significant aesthetic & financial support within the UUFd membership – **lack of interest from the membership, depends mostly on other members' commitment – the more they are interested and willing to assist then the more I am, if one of the partners were to back out.**
- **Community involvement/interest/impact:** Assurance that the project is part of the strategic vision of the fellowship for community-wide impact, for the church to be more inclusive and helpful to area neighbors, also the interest of the community
- **Other comments:**
 - I think the proposals are great
 - I support it fully
 - I am in support of this project - a lot of great work has already gone into this proposal and I think we can maintain/increase support by continuing to be transparent and allow opportunities for congregants to share their thoughts
 - It is always great to fix up buildings and changing some of the interior would be great especially having a lift, there are so many positives- the generosity of John and Alice - the resulting upgrades, the beauty, the historic significance, etc.
 - I'd be very disappointed if it does not go forward and happen. It is a privilege for the UUFd to receive the offer of the Butlers' generous support.
 - I'm very supportive of doing all the renovations and believe UUFd should go ahead with ALL three phases and get on board soon - with supported funding, grant opportunities, insights and guidance from Gronen's and other renovation experts, and very generous support from the Butler's, UUFd would be extremely foolish if they do not accept these project renovations and improvements. With matching funds and other resources available, it is doable. If UUFd would not take this opportunity, I think there would be negative repercussions and there would be a loss of membership and a downhill slope for growing its membership and presence in the community... If UUFd is interested in making its campus/property sound and attractive in the future and growing its numbers... I think it is important to say a big YES and go forward.

ACTION/PHASES comments:

Concerns: Quick action

- **Action:** Quick action taken by board of trustees on this issue, fast movement - **prolonged indecision, failure of board to address concerns of congregation, if it gets held up in dithering for several more years - let's act now**
 - **Question:** How can the process be streamlined & not bogged down by having input from every single person about every single detail?

Comments: Support for 3-phase project and exterior, concerns about belfry, mixed support/concerns for interior

- **3-phase project:** Assurance that the project as a whole is endorsed as whole not in a piecemeal fashion, 3-phase project makes a lot of sense, idea of doing this in phases is sound - I would reconfigure them to include the whole of the church property and in light of UUFd's identity as a community of faith, I think the only way to do it is in phases - first 2 phases exterior - **support for one step only**